



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/473,246	12/27/1999	MARK W. SCHAEFERA	012006-00254	9751

7590 02/11/2002

DAVID W BROWNLEE  
ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN 7 MELLOTT LLC  
600 GRANT STREET 44TH FLOOR  
PITTSBURGH, PA 15219

EXAMINER

ANGEBRANNNDT, MARTIN J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1756

DATE MAILED: 02/11/2002

12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

MF-12

<b>Advisory Action</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/473,246	SCHAEFERA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Martin J Angebranndt	1756

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

THE REPLY FILED 1/18/02 & 2/5/02 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

**PERIOD FOR REPLY** [check either a) or b)]

- a)  The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1.  A Notice of Appeal was filed on 05 February 2002. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.  The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
  - (a)  they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
  - (b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
  - (c)  they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
  - (d)  they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_.

3.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): double patenting rejections over 6,006,415 and 5,881,444.
4.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.  The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.  For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: none.

Claim(s) objected to: none.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-25.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

8.  The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

10.  Other: \_\_\_\_\_.



Martin J Angebranndt  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit: 1756

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims of the Mentz et al. '657 and the instant application are nearly identical. The only difference is the recited thickness of the resist and the hardness which are explicitly disclosed or implicitly taught based upon the hardening of the shims. To resolve this, the applicant must either amend the instant claims to step outside the envelope of coverage accorded the Mentz et al. '657 patent or should file a declaration under 37 CFR 1/608(b) as set forth in MPEP 2308.02 to provoke an interference with that patent document, the filing date of which is accorded 11/26/1997., Which is prior to the filing date of the instant application (12/12/1997). As both applicants are based in the US, both parties have a right to provide evidence to support their assertions of first to invent..